The Strong Base Trinitrosylcobalt

By INDERJIT H. SABHERWAL and ANTON B. BURG*

(Department of Chemistry, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California 90007)

Summary The new compound $(NO)_3Co$ exerts strong-base action through lone-pair electrons on cobalt, as demonstrated especially by its formation of a stable adduct with the weak Lewis acid trimethylboron.

THE novel trico-ordinate complex $(NO)_3$ Co has been made from $Co_2(CO)_8$ and NO by quantitative high-vacuum methods. Its i.r. spectrum (in cyclohexane) shows N–O stretching at 1860 and 1795 cm⁻¹, and no band in the NObridging region (ca. 1400 cm⁻¹). The two observable N–O stretching modes indicate a pyramidal C_{3v} structure rather than a planar D_{3h} arrangement. This means that the Co–NO σ -bonds are based upon a tetrahedral hybridization of cobalt, with potentially base-active sp^3 lone-pair electrons on cobalt.

Such base action is demonstrated by the formation of adducts from BF_3 , B_2H_6 , or BMe_3 , with (NO)₃Co in solution. We have studied most fully the adduct (NO)₃CoBMe₃, because the weakness of BMe_3 as a Lewis acid makes a good test of base strength.

For the synthesis of $(NO)_3Co$ it is expedient to heat $Co_2(CO)_8$ with NO in a sealed tube, first producing NOCo- $(CO)_3$, and then to expose this to more NO in a separate

experiment. The mmole stoicheiometry of such an experiment is as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{NOCo(CO)}_3 + 2\text{NO} \rightarrow (\text{NO)}_3\text{Co} + 3\text{CO} \\ 0.150 & 2.92 & 0.148 & 0.440 \\ & -2.62 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

0.30 nett consumed

This reaction was observable after a few minutes under direct sunlight (through Pyrex) at 25°. In the dark at 60°, it could be completed in 4 days, by occasional removal of the liberated CO. There seemed to be at least one CO-bridged intermediate—possibly $(NO)_2Co(CO)_2Co(NO)_2$.

The formula $(NO)_3Co$ was confirmed by the high-vacuum thermal decomposition of a 0.206 mmole sample to give 0.202 mg.-atom of lustrous metallic cobalt and 0.612 mmole of NO, authenticated by its known vapour pressures. The results of tensiometric molecular-weight determinations (in dry, degassed benzene or carbon tetrachloride) were 132 or 136; calc., 149.

Unlike the product previously claimed as $(NO)_{3}Co,^{1}$ our compound appears black (or deep red in solution), does not

CHEMICAL COMMUNICATIONS, 1970

sublime under high vacuum below 100° (above which temperature NO is lost), and is rapidly destroyed by air or moisture. Accordingly, it appears that we have made the first authentic samples of this substance.

The adduct (NO)₃CoBMe₃ was formed exothermally in benzene solution, with mmole stoicheiometry as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c} (\text{NO})_{3}\text{CO} + \text{BMe}_{3} \rightarrow (\text{NO})_{3}\text{CoBMe}_{3} \\ 0.145 & 0.320 & 0.143 \\ & -0.180 \end{array}$$

0.140 nett consumed

It seemed to be reasonably stable in the open air, or in vacuo up to 45°, above which temperature it was possible to remove some BMe₃ (proved by its i.r. spectrum); at higher temperatures there was simultaneous loss of NO. The mass spectrum showed m/e numbers corresponding to $(NO)_{3}Co$ and $B(CH_{3})_{3}$, but not the parent ion of the adduct nor any higher numbers. Other m/e numbers, possibly

assignable to CoB(CH₃)₃, (NO)₃CoBC₃H₃, (NO)₂CoBC₂H₄, $NOCoBC_{3}H_{8}$, and $NOCoBC_{3}H_{5}$, lend some further support to the formation (NO)₃CoB(CH₃)₃. The i.r. spectrum (mull in hexachlorobutadiene) showed C-H stretching at 2925 and 2895 cm⁻¹ and N-O stretching only at 1845 and 1766 cm⁻¹, meaning that the BMe₃ could not have been attached to an NO group.

The stability of the adduct (NO)₃CoBMe₃ indicates that (NO)₃Co is a stronger base than either ammonia or trimethylamine, which hold BMe₃ far more weakly.^{2,3} Other neutral-molecule transition-element bases, such as (C5H5)2-WH₂⁴ and (C₅H₅)₂ReH,⁵ have been described, but proved too weak to hold BMe₃. It appears that (NO)₃Co is the strongest non-ionic transition-element base yet found, and deserves a full study of its reactions analogous to those of ammonia or tertiary amines.

We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support.

(Received, June 15th, 1970; Com. 926.)

¹ L. Schlecht, G. Hamprecht, and F. Spoun, Fr. P., 740,095 (1932); Ger. P., 613,400 (1935); U.S. P., 1,992,637 (1935). ² A. Stock and F. Zeidler, *Ber.*, 1921, 54B, 531.

- ^a H. I. Schlesinger, N. W. Flodin, and A. B. Burg, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1939, 61, 1079. ^d D. F. Shriver, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1963, 85, 3509; M. P. Johnson and D. F. Shriver, *ibid.*, 1966, 88, 301.

⁵ H. Brunner, P. C. Wailes, and H. D. Kaesz, Inorg. Nuclear Chem. Letters, 1965, 1, 125.